

**MINUTES OF MEETING
LAKE ASHTON
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT**

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Lake Ashton Community Development District was held on **Monday, August 17, 2026** at 9:01 a.m. at the Lake Ashton Clubhouse Ballroom, 4141 Ashton Club Drive, Lake Wales, FL.

Present and constituting a quorum were:

Brenda VanSickle
Mike Costello
Steve Realmuto
Debby Landgrebe
Greg Ulrich

Chairperson
Vice Chairman
Assistant Secretary
Assistant Secretary
Assistant Secretary

Also present were:

Jill Burns
Savannah Hancock
Garrett Posten
Matt Fisher
Denise Plavetzky *by Zoom*

District Manager, GMS
District Counsel, Kilinski Van Wyk
District Engineer, Rayl Engineering
Field Manager
Community Director

The following is a summary of the discussions and actions taken at the August 17, 2026 Lake Ashton Community Development District Board of Supervisors meeting.

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS

Roll Call and Pledge of Allegiance

Ms. Burns called the meeting to order at 9:01 a.m., called roll, and recited the pledge of allegiance. All five Supervisors were in attendance constituting a quorum.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS

Approval of Meeting Agenda

Ms. VanSickle requested approval of the meeting agenda.

On MOTION by Mr. Realmuto seconded by Ms. Landgrebe, with all in favor, the Meeting Agenda, was approved 5-0.
--

August 17, 2026

Lake Ashton CDD

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS

**Public Comments on Specific Items
on the Agenda (*All Public Comments
are limited to three (3) minutes each*)**

Ms. VanSickle opened the public comment period.

Resident (Marianne Zak) addressed the Board regarding the need for Precision to install the automatic door opener to improve accessibility for disabled residents and visitors attending community events. She noted concerns about individuals potentially falling while navigating the area during inclement weather, and emphasized the potential liability and fines associated with non-compliance. Ms. Zak thanked the Board for their continued efforts to address the matter.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

**Consideration of Minutes from the
July 20, 2026 Board of Supervisors
Meeting**

Ms. VanSickle presented the minutes from the July 20, 2026 Board of Supervisors meeting. The Board provided minor comments to be incorporated into the signed version. During discussion, Supervisor Landgrebe requested removal of a supervisor-provided handout attached to the minutes, noting that Board members' supporting materials are generally not incorporated into the official minutes and that the document contained only partial information. Supervisor Realmuto opposed the request, stating that the handout provided additional information regarding assessment options that had been discussed but was not included in the original agenda packet, and that including it promoted transparency. District Counsel explained that Florida law requires summary minutes and does not require supporting documents to be incorporated into the minutes, although such documents remain public records. The Board discussed whether the handout should remain with the July minutes and whether additional materials could be included. It was noted that documents not discussed at the July meeting would not be appropriate for inclusion in the July minutes but could potentially be included with the August minutes if discussed at that meeting.

On MOTION by Mr. Realmuto, seconded by Mr. Costello, with all in favor, the Minutes from the July 20, 2026 Board of Supervisors Meeting, were approved as amended 5-0.

August 17, 2026**Lake Ashton CDD****FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS****Staff Reports****A. Engineer****I. Presentation of Encroachment Report**

Mr. Posten reported that final inspections of the Turnberry area (Phase 3) had been completed. Of the 38 pipes inspected, eight had previously been repaired during the Turnberry repaving project, leaving 30 pipes requiring evaluation. While most defects were minor, five pipes were identified as having significant structural defects requiring repair, with two additional pipes approaching the threshold for repair. Mr. Posten will obtain itemized quotes for the five critical repairs and separate quotes for the two additional pipes, as well as costs for Waterford paving and storm sewer repairs, for consideration at the next meeting. Mr. Posten also reported on needed repairs to the Marina bridges and advised that he is working with contractors on a proposed concrete replacement solution. He expects to present options to the Board at the next meeting.

Regarding encroachments, Mr. Posten stated that based on the photographs homeowners appeared to have removed encroachments from CDD property. However, several properties continued to show mowing beyond wetland markers. District Counsel explained that prior cease-and-desist letters had been issued and recommended sending additional cease-and-desist letters regarding the continued mowing in conservation areas to establish a record of compliance and protect the District should the water management District impose fines or penalties. Ms. Hancock stated that the second letter would be issued on District Counsel's letterhead rather than the District Manager's and would include the firm's standard provision that any fines or penalties imposed on the District by a governmental agency may be passed through to the homeowner. Mr. Ulrich stated that the pass-through provision addressed his concern. The Board approved a motion to send the secondary cease-and-desist letters.

On MOTION by Mr. Ulrich, seconded by Ms. VanSickle, with all in favor, Directing Staff to Send Second Cease-and-Desist Letters, was approved 5-0.

B. Attorney

August 17, 2026**Lake Ashton CDD**

I. Consideration of Resolution 2026-08 Setting a Public Hearing on the Adoption of Encroachment Policies (Suggested Date: October 19, 2026)

Ms. Hancock presented a draft encroachment policy establishing an application process for homeowners seeking to place improvements on District property. The Board discussed the proposed application fee range and the need for fees to cover administrative, engineering, legal, and recording costs, with Ms. Hancock explaining that Florida law requires a specific fee range to be established through a public hearing. The Board expressed support for increasing the maximum encroachment fee to \$5,000. It was also clarified that fences and perimeter walls are prohibited under HOA requirements and would be removed from the policy. The Board further discussed establishing a rental rate range for the vacant restaurant space, including consideration of whether the kitchen would be included and how rates could vary based on the type, duration, and timing of rentals. Mr. Realmuto expressed concern regarding staff discretion to set individual rental rates within a range as broad as \$0 to \$10,000 and referenced Lake Ashton CDD II's practice of adopting golf membership rates as a range with the Board approving the actual fees. Ms. Hancock stated that only the range is required for the public hearing and that staff and counsel would develop a proposed rate structure and related guidelines. The Board approved proceeding with the required public hearing and advertising of the proposed fee schedule, including an encroachment fee range of \$0 to \$5,000 and a restaurant rental fee range of \$0 to \$10,000, with the hearing to be held at the October 19, 2026, meeting.

On MOTION by Mr. Ulrich, seconded by Ms. Landgrebe, with all in favor, Resolution 2026-08 Setting a Public Hearing on the Adoption of Encroachment Policies and the Restaurant Fee, was approved as amended 5-0.

C. Field Manager

I. Aquatics Maintenance

a) Presentation of Monthly Aquatics Site Visit Review

Mr. Fisher reported that Solitude Aquatic Maintenance had completed the wetland treatments and returned to address additional areas of concern. The grass along the outskirts of the wetlands appeared to be dead following treatment. Mr. Fisher will conduct

August 17, 2026**Lake Ashton CDD**

another thorough review the following week and provide an update, including photographs of pond conditions, at the next Board meeting.

II. Items Regarding District Landscaping

a) Landscape Plantings Photo Renderings

Mr. Fisher also reported that the littoral plantings were not looking satisfactory. After reviewing the site and contacting Alan, the District Manager for Solitude, arrangements were being made to have the area replanted. Mr. Fisher will follow up with Solitude regarding the anticipated replanting date. The Board then discussed proposed plantings for the boulevard medians. The options included dwarf oleander, dwarf firebush, and loropetalum. The plants were selected for their cold hardiness, and oleander was not recommended due to its susceptibility to caterpillar infestation. The proposed plan was to alternate loropetalum and dwarf firebush by median, with each plant variety installed on both sides of the medians. The proposal included approximately 550 plants, spaced three feet apart, at a total cost of \$7,425.

On MOTION by Mr. Costello, seconded by Ms. VanSickle, with all in favor, the Proposal for 550 Plants, was approved 5-0.

Mr. Ulrich noted that the proposal represented an estimated savings of nearly \$11,000 compared to the quote received from Yellowstone, the District's regular landscape maintenance company.

b) Proposals & Pricing for Plantings

- i. American Heritage Nursery**
- ii. Yellowstone**

Mr. Fisher reported that proposals had been requested from Yellowstone and American Heritage for relocating the existing rock at the front entrance and installing mulch. American Heritage submitted a proposal totaling \$2,500, while Yellowstone's proposal was approximately four times higher. The Board discussed relocating the existing rock around the oak tree and gate arms, with any remaining rock potentially being used around other landscaped areas and installing mulch in the remaining areas. Concerns were raised regarding mulch previously washing into the roadway during rain.

August 17, 2026**Lake Ashton CDD**

Staff will work with the landscaping vendor to lower the soil along the curb as needed and monitor the area; installation of a garden border may also be considered if necessary. The Board approved the \$2,500 proposal from American Heritage.

On MOTION by Ms. Landgrebe, seconded by Mr. Ulrich, with all in favor, the Proposal from American Heritage for \$2,500, was approved 5-0.

c) Landscape Planting Cost Comparison

d) Proposal from American Heritage Nursery for River Rock Relocation and Mulching at Entry (Both Sides) Off Thompson Nursery Road

Mr. Ulrich asked about the reported clubhouse roof leak and noted that the vendor referred by staff, Jurin Roofing, has historically been costly for the District. Mr. Fisher stated that the vendor is effective at diagnosing commercial roofing issues and providing a photographic report, and that his practice is to obtain additional bids based on that report before authorizing any repair.

D. Lake Ashton Community Director

Ms. Plavetzky reported that the previously approved agreement with 4105 Limerick for the installation of riprap had been completed. She also provided an update on the reserve study, noting that she had been in contact with Capital Reserve Advisors regarding Draft 10 and was working with the consultant to incorporate revisions requested by the Board. The Board reiterated its requests for additional missing items, including the clubhouse sound system, as well as an explanation of the meaning and methodology of "100% funding." Ms. Plavetzky stated that she would continue working with the consultant and provide the requested information to the Supervisors prior to the next meeting for possible approval of the final reserve study.

Ms. Plavetzky also reported that ASG was working with Precision regarding the previously approved upgrade to the clubhouse door, which will allow access by scanning a card. An update regarding scheduling was expected during the week. She noted that two candidate forums were currently scheduled and no additional requests had been received. She further reported that Nation Security had increased patrols in the pool area

August 17, 2026**Lake Ashton CDD**

and clubhouse, with the Board receiving positive feedback regarding the guards and a significant improvement in security services. Post orders would be discussed separately.

Regarding resident programming, Ms. Plavetzky reported positive attendance and feedback from the recent Monday Morning Coffee featuring Natural Encounters and an owl presentation. The Board discussed the possibility of partnering with Natural Encounters for future events and improving communication to residents regarding similar programs offered at the organization's nearby facility. It was clarified that sharing information about such events as a public service to residents would be permissible under the District's advertising policy, provided the communication was informational rather than promotional.

The Board then considered an insurance waiver for All Systems Go in connection with its security agreement. Ms. Hancock explained that the District's standard security agreement requires a \$2 million umbrella liability policy. All Systems Go has \$2 million in general liability coverage, \$2 million in automobile liability coverage, workers' compensation, and employer's liability coverage, but does not carry the required umbrella policy. Staff advised that the Board could waive the umbrella requirement. The Board also discussed whether insurance requirements for smaller projects could be adjusted to avoid unnecessarily burdening smaller vendors; however, staff explained that insurance requirements are evaluated based on the specific project and associated risks rather than solely on contract value. Mr. Ulrich asked whether the \$2 million umbrella requirement should apply to smaller projects, noting that vendors unable to carry that coverage may offer lower pricing. Mr. Realmuto supported adopting a framework addressing insurance requirements by project size or risk so that individual waivers would not require separate Board action, and requested that staff provide related context at a future meeting. He also asked what insurance coverage the District's management company carries for its on-site personnel. Ms. Burns stated that the required coverage is set out in the management agreement, together with additional coverage required because the firm serves as District Treasurer. After discussion, the Board agreed to waive the \$2 million umbrella liability insurance requirement for All Systems Go in connection with its security contract.

August 17, 2026**Lake Ashton CDD**

On MOTION by Mr. Ulrich, seconded by Mr. Realmuto, with all in favor, Waiving the Umbrella Policy, was approved 5-0.

E. District Manager

Ms. Burns reported that she may be unable to attend the September Board meeting due to a mediation related to a District involved in litigation. One of the three dates provided for the mediation conflicts with the September meeting date. Ms. Burns stated that she does not have control over the mediation schedule and, if necessary, a representative may attend the meeting in her place. Other than that, she did not have anything to report.

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**Financial Reports****A. Combined Balance Sheets****B. Capital Projects Reserve Fund****C. Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance****D. Approval of Check Run Summary**

Ms. VanSickle presented the financial reports, including the balance sheet, capital project and project reserve funds, statement of revenues and expenditures, changes in fund balance, and check run summary. No comments were made, and approval of the check run summary was requested.

On MOTION by Mr. Costello, seconded by Ms. Landgrebe, with all in favor, the Check Run Summary, was approved 5-0.

SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**Old Business****A. Discussion Regarding Restaurant RFP and Response from Tropical Fusion**

The Board discussed the response from Tropical Fusion regarding the restaurant RFP and the additional information requested by District staff. Staff had requested a business plan, proof of financial capability, and references by August 5, 2026, along with providing a draft lease agreement. Staff reported that the only response received was a July 30 letter from Mr. Lawrence containing several requested changes to the proposed lease; the requested business plan, financial information, and references had not been provided. The Board discussed whether to continue negotiations or restart the RFP process.

August 17, 2026**Lake Ashton CDD**

The Board reviewed the requested reduction of the \$8,000 security deposit to \$2,500 and expressed concerns based on the District's history of costs associated with restoring the restaurant after prior tenants. Staff reported that the District had collected prior deposits of \$5,000, \$8,000, and \$6,000 from the last three vendors. Several Board members indicated that the \$8,000 security deposit should remain unchanged. Ms. Landgrebe stated that \$7,000 was the lowest amount she would consider, based on District records of restoration costs previously incurred.

The Board also discussed Tropical Fusion's request to defer rent until the restaurant opens, eliminate or modify the District's 60-day termination provision, and provide exclusivity for catering or use of the banquet hall. The Board generally supported waiving rent until the restaurant is operational, provided the lease contains clear and objective requirements defining when rent would commence. The Board did not support exclusivity of the banquet hall and expressed opposition to changing the standard 60-day termination provision. Staff advised that the 60-day provision is standard for similar District leases and provides necessary flexibility for a local government. Mr. Realmuto noted that a short without-cause termination provision reduces a tenant's incentive to invest in the leased premises. He cited the District's prior lease of the golf course property to Lake Ashton CDD II, in which a thirty-year term was provided to preserve the value of the lessee's investment, and questioned how many respondents the District would attract under the terms being discussed.

The Board then considered whether to continue negotiations with Tropical Fusion or issue a new RFP. Concerns were raised regarding the failure to provide the information requested by the August 5 deadline and the length of time the restaurant had remained vacant. Mr. Realmuto stated that the July 30 letter represented counteroffers rather than a rejection of the District's terms and reflected good-faith negotiation. He requested that the Board work through each of the requests and establish its own final position before discontinuing negotiations, and asked whether the Board could reach consensus on a security deposit amount for that purpose. Staff advised that catering companies could potentially be brought in under short-term agreements to provide interim food service to residents.

August 17, 2026**Lake Ashton CDD**

Mr. Ulrich stated that he would prefer to continue negotiations and explained his position prior to the vote.

Ms. Landgrebe asked that action on the motion be deferred, citing concern that the requested materials had not been provided, and that new solicitation might attract fewer or less qualified respondents.

Mr. Realmuto stated that he was not prepared to approve restarting the process without first reviewing the RFP to be issued, and that the Board should discuss what the solicitation would contain. He further noted that as many as three new Supervisors could take office in November, constituting a new majority, and expressed concern that an RFP issued under the current Board's terms could be revised by the incoming Board. He suggested that interim food service arrangements be made and that final approval of a new operator be left to the new Board.

On MOTION by Ms. VanSickle seconded by Mr. Costello, with Ms. Landgrebe, Ms. VanSickle, and Mr. Costello in favor and Mr. Realmuto and Mr. Ulrich opposed, Authorizing Staff to Send RFP, was approved 3-2.

The Board discussed the timeline and content for reissuing the restaurant RFP. Staff advised that the July draft RFP could be updated to incorporate the Board's recent requirements and include the draft lease agreement, insurance requirements, and other applicable terms. The Board directed staff to issue the RFP by the end of the week, with proposals due approximately October 8, 2026, and proposers expected to present at the October Board meeting. Staff noted that the RFP would provide approximately 45 days for responses and would be distributed to previous bidders as well as additional potential restaurant and management companies. Staff clarified that the requested business plan, proof of financial capability, and verifiable references are standard requirements already included in the District's restaurant RFPs. The Board also discussed whether management companies operating restaurants should be eligible to respond, and the Board favored soliciting as broadly as possible. The Board further discussed providing interim food service while the restaurant RFP process is underway. Staff advised that short-term license agreements could be used for caterers or food trucks to operate on

August 17, 2026**Lake Ashton CDD**

District property. The Board discussed concerns regarding use of the restaurant kitchen, including equipment, cleaning, insurance, and liability. It was generally agreed that allowing temporary caterers to provide service without using the kitchen would be the simpler approach, while staff could explore appropriate arrangements and insurance requirements.

EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**New Business****A. Discussion Regarding Vendor Insurance**

Ms. VanSickle presented the discussion regarding vendor insurance. The Board briefly discussed vendor insurance requirements and whether any further action or discussion was needed following the previously addressed waiver. Ms. VanSickle asked whether the matter needed to be addressed for other vendors, and no additional concerns were raised.

B. Consideration of Resolution 2026-09 Setting a Public Hearing on the Adoption of Amended and Restated District Rules of Procedure (Chapter I)(Suggested Date: October 19, 2026)

Ms. VanSickle presented Resolution 2026-09. The Board discussed Resolution 2026-09, which would set a public hearing for October 19, 2026, regarding adoption of amended and restated District Rules of Procedure, Chapter One. Ms. Hancock explained that the proposed rules are a wholesale replacement of the District's outdated procedures and incorporate recent statutory changes, including updated notice requirements, public records request provisions, and bidding procedures.

Mr. Ulrich and Mr. Realmuto expressed concerns about holding the hearing in October given the upcoming change in Board membership and the lack of a redlined comparison showing substantive changes. Mr. Realmuto stated that he had prepared a comparison of the proposed rules against the rules currently in effect and had identified a substantial number of changes he considered substantive rather than procedural. Mr. Realmuto requested that Board members have an opportunity to review the changes, discuss concerns with District Counsel, and provide feedback before the public hearing. Ms. Hancock offered to provide a comparison identifying significant updates and meet individually with supervisors to explain the proposed changes. Following discussion, Ms.

August 17, 2026**Lake Ashton CDD**

VanSickle moved to approve Resolution 2026-09 and set the public hearing for October 19, 2026.

On MOTION by Ms. VanSickle seconded by Mr. Costello, with Ms. Landgrebe, Ms. VanSickle, and Mr. Costello in favor and Mr. Realmuto and Mr. Ulrich opposed, Resolution 2026-09 Setting a Public Hearing on the Adoption of Amended and Restated District Rules of Procedure (Chapter I) on October 19, 2026, was approved 3-2.

The Board further discussed providing a comparison of the proposed Rules of Procedure with the existing rules. Ms. Hancock and Ms. Burns noted that the changes were largely related to updating statutory changes or references. Ms. Hancock offered to meet individually with supervisors and present the major changes at the October meeting or provide them for Board discussion at the September meeting. Mr. Realmuto agreed to share the redlined comparison he prepared and requested that the matter be placed on the September agenda so the Board could review and discuss any proposed changes before they are incorporated into the version considered at the October public hearing. Ms. Hancock agreed to bring the comparison and Board feedback back for discussion in September.

C. Consideration of Resolution 2026-10 Adopting the Joint Meeting Schedule for Fiscal Year 2026/2027

Ms. VanSickle presented Resolution 2026-10. The Board discussed adopting the joint meeting schedule for Fiscal Year 2026/2027. Because the previously scheduled joint meeting was canceled due to a lack of agenda items, the matter was being considered separately by each Board. Discussion focused on the proposed October meeting date, with concern expressed that it would occur prior to the seating of newly elected supervisors and only two months after the prior meeting. The Board discussed moving the meeting to November or a later date and establishing a schedule that would continue on a quarterly basis. Ms. Burns confirmed that newly elected Supervisors take office on the second Tuesday following the election, November 17, so that a meeting held November 19 would fall within the new terms. She also advised that she and Ms. Hancock

August 17, 2026**Lake Ashton CDD**

are unavailable on November 19 and that the Thanksgiving and Christmas holidays limit staff availability in the weeks that follow. Mr. Ulrich proposed that, whichever date is selected, the joint meetings continue thereafter in November, February, May and August so the conflict does not recur following future elections. Staff noted that any revised schedule would need to be coordinated with Lake Ashton CDD II and approved by both Boards. The Board ultimately directed staff to coordinate with CDD II to identify a mutually agreeable joint meeting date between its November meeting and January, taking into consideration Board and staff availability and the Thanksgiving and Christmas holidays.

D. Goals and Objectives

I. Adoption of Fiscal Year 2027 Goals & Objectives

Ms. Burns reviewed the Fiscal Year 2027 goals and objectives. The Board discussed adoption of the Fiscal Year 2027 Goals and Objectives. Ms. Burns explained that, due to recent changes in Florida legislation, the District is required to adopt goals and objectives annually. The proposed FY 2027 Goals and Objectives are the same as those previously adopted for FY 2026, and the District is on track to meet them. Mr. Realmuto asked whether the goals could be modified during the year, and it was confirmed that a future Board could add, remove, or revise goals as appropriate. The Board also discussed keeping the goals broad rather than overly specific.

II. Review of Approved Fiscal Year 2026 Goals & Objectives and Authorizing Chair to Execute Final Form

Ms. VanSickle presented the Fiscal Year 2026 Goals & Objectives. The Board authorized the Chair to sign the form confirming that the FY 2026 Goals and Objectives had been met at the end of the fiscal year. During discussion, Mr. Realmuto raised a question regarding whether the District's audit had been posted to the website; Ms. Burns clarified that the audit is posted after Board acceptance and noted that the referenced item may have pertained to FY 2026.

On MOTION by Mr. Ulrich, seconded by Ms. Landgrebe, with all in favor, Adoption of Fiscal Year 2027 Goals & Objectives and Authorizing Chair to Execute Final Form that Fiscal Year 2026 Goals & Objectives have been Met, was approved 5-0.

August 17, 2026**Lake Ashton CDD****NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS****CLOSED SECURITY SESSION****A. Discussion Regarding Invoice Received from Securitas (*provided to Supervisors separately due to confidentiality purposes*)**

Ms. VanSickle noted the Board is breaking for a closed session.

TENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**Public Comments**

Resident (Marianne Zak) expressed appreciation for the Board's efforts to secure a restaurant for the community but encouraged the Board to proceed cautiously. The resident noted concerns about committing to a restaurant without knowing who would ultimately serve as the chef or cook, stating that the community could be disappointed if the new operation did not meet expectations. The resident also cautioned that restarting the process and selecting a single restaurant could leave the District with limited alternatives and potentially reduce its negotiating leverage. In particular, the resident expressed concern that the restaurant operator could make greater demands, including regarding any required security deposit, if no other options were available. The resident encouraged the Board to carefully consider these factors before moving forward and thanked the Board for its efforts.

ELEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**Supervisor Requests/Supervisor Open Discussion**

Ms. Landgrebe requested that the assessment history she provided, covering the earliest available records through the current year, be included in the meeting minutes for transparency. She explained that the information demonstrates the cumulative assessment increases, the years in which there were no increases, and years in which assessments decreased. Ms. VanSickle noted that the historical information averages the cumulative increase over the number of years; for the FY 2027 assessment recently approved, the increase equates to approximately \$57.77 per year when averaged over 19 years, without adjustment for inflation.

Mr. Realmuto clarified that the assessment information he previously provided was based on the published General Fund budgets and was intended to reflect the current budget discussion, with the only adjustment being the addition of the impact of a \$100

August 17, 2026**Lake Ashton CDD**

increase. He stated that including additional historical years lowers the average increase but noted that many current residents have not been residents for the entire period. He emphasized that the current Board should focus on the increases residents will experience now and in future years rather than attempting to recover prior years of lower assessments through current residents.

Ms. Landgrebe stated that including the complete history provides a fuller picture and supports transparency. Ms. Burns explained that prior assessment decisions should be viewed in the context of the District's financial history, including a significant loss associated with a restaurant, the purchase of golf course property, and additional amenities and infrastructure such as cart paths, ponds, and bridges that created ongoing financial obligations. Mr. Ulrich agreed, noting that the Board evaluates the budget prospectively, seeks to control costs, avoids unnecessary expenditures, and looks for opportunities to reduce project costs. Ms. Landgrebe added that she had recently identified another landscaping provider that resulted in significant savings, further illustrating the Board's efforts to reduce expenses.

August 17, 2026

Lake Ashton CDD

	Fiscal Year	Gross per unit Assessment	Assessment Yearly Increase	Cumulative \$ Increase	PER YEAR
	2008	\$ 1,621.40			
1	2009	\$ 1,621.41	\$0.01	\$ 0.01	
2	2010	\$ 1,788.26	166.85	\$ 166.86	\$ 83.43
3	2011	\$ 1,714.41	\$ (73.85)	\$ 93.01	\$ 31.00
4	2012	\$ 1,714.41	\$0.00	\$ 93.01	\$ 23.25
5	2013	\$ 1,714.41	\$0.00	\$ 93.01	\$ 18.60
6	2014	\$ 1,714.41	\$0.00	\$ 93.01	\$ 15.50
7	2015	\$ 1,714.41	\$0.00	\$ 93.01	\$ 13.29
8	2016	\$ 1,714.41	\$0.00	\$ 93.01	\$ 11.63
9	2017	\$ 1,714.41	\$0.00	\$ 93.01	\$ 10.33
10	2018	\$ 1,714.41	\$0.00	\$ 93.01	\$ 9.30
11	2019	\$ 1,714.41	\$0.00	\$ 93.01	\$ 8.46
12	2020	\$ 1,875.00	\$160.59	\$ 253.60	\$ 21.13
13	2021	\$ 1,875.00	\$0.00	\$ 253.60	\$ 19.51
14	2022	\$ 1,969.00	\$94.00	\$ 347.60	\$ 24.83
15	2023	\$ 2,269.00	\$300.00	\$ 647.60	\$ 43.17
16	2024	\$ 2,369.00	\$100.00	\$ 747.60	\$ 46.73
17	2025	\$ 2,569.00	\$ 200.00	\$ 947.60	\$ 55.74
18	2026	\$ 2,569.00	\$0.00	\$ 947.60	\$ 52.64
19	2027	\$ 2,719.00	\$ 150.00	\$ 1,097.60	\$ 57.77

Year 2024 = \$747.60 cumulative assessment increase divided by 16 years = \$46.73 per year

Year 2025 = 947.60 cumulative assessment increase divided by 17 years = \$55.74 per year

Year 2026 = 947.60 cumulative assessment increase divided by 18 years = \$52.64 per year

Year 2027 = 1097.60 cumulative assessment increase divided by 19 years = \$57.77 year

10 Years NO INCREASE

1 Year a DECREASE

1 Year increase of \$0.01

DLANDGREBE YOY ASSESSMENTS

August 17, 2026

Lake Ashton CDD

TWELFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned.

On MOTION by Mr. Realmuto, seconded by Ms. Landgrebe,
with all in favor, the meeting was adjourned.

Jill Burns

Secretary / Assistant Secretary

Signed by:

Buck VanLeh

12F94F2404914EE...

Chairman / Vice Chairman