MINUTES OF MEETING LAKE ASHTON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Lake Ashton Community Development District was held on **Monday**, **July 21**, **2025** at 9:10 a.m. at the Lake Ashton Clubhouse Ballroom, 4141 Ashton Club Drive, Lake Wales, FL.

Present and constituting a quorum were:

Brenda VanSickle Chairperson
Mike Costello Vice Chairman
Steve Realmuto Assistant Secretary
Debby Landgrebe Assistant Secretary
Greg Ulrich Assistant Secretary

Also present were:

Jill Burns District Manager, GMS

Jay Lazarovich District Counsel, Latham Luna
Alan Rayl District Engineer, Rayl Engineering

Matt Fisher Operations Manager Christine Wells Community Director

The following is a summary of the discussions and actions taken at the July 21, 2025 Lake Ashton Community Development District Board of Supervisors meeting.

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS

Roll Call and Pledge of Allegiance

Ms. Burns called the meeting to order at 9:10 a.m., called roll, took a moment of silence and recited the pledge of allegiance. Five Supervisors were present in person constituting a quorum.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS

Approval of Meeting Agenda

Mr. Costello asked for approval of the meeting agenda if there were no additions or changes.

On MOTION by Ms. VanSickle, seconded by Ms. Landgrebe, with all in favor, the Meeting Agenda, was approved 5-0.

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS

Public Comments on Specific Items on the Agenda (the District Manager will read any questions or comments received from members of the public in advance of the meeting)

Mr. Costello opened the public comment period.

Iris Realmuto (3624 Blackmoor Ln.) stated that the Focus 2030 group will have their first meeting on August 4, 2025 and there are 26 members in the group. They will be meeting twice a week until the end of the year.

Mariane Zak (4031 Birkdale Dr.) asked if they could have all of our lawyers' comments recorded in the minutes of this meeting regarding the handicapped. This facility is open to both residents and the public even though this building is thought of as a private club. Because it is open to the public as well, wouldn't this building have to comply with the ADA Title III Public Accommodations for Handicapped Public. Car parking located at both sides of the building have exterior side doors that can be used to easily access the building as well as to exit the building. The handicapped public are not allowed to use the side doors. The handicapped public can only use the front door. Card readers have been installed at the exterior side doors that allow direct access to the ballroom and restaurants. Residents have been issued cards allowing them to use the exterior doors of the ballroom and the restaurant. The public does not have the access which creates a hardship for the handicapped public. The main entrance is now the only entry for the public to access the clubhouse which is problematic for the public handicapped. This creates an unnecessary hardship for the public to access the building, especially during extreme weather conditions. ADA Title III Public Accommodations states public accommodations must remove barriers in existing buildings where it is easy to do so without much difficulty or expense given the public accommodation resources. Prior to the recent card installation, the public could use the exterior side doors for both entry as well as exiting. The handicapped public may be discriminated against by having only to use the main entrance. ADA Title III also states, "Public accommodations must comply with basic nondiscrimination requirements that prohibit exclusion, segregation and unequal

treatment." Wouldn't the extra distance a handicapped person has to travel from the parking lot to and from the main entrance versus an alternative exterior side door be considered discrimination? We residents are not allowed to open either of these doors to the public and there would be repercussions for the residents if they allow access to any member of the public or to each other. We are not even allowed to help each other. We make access harder for the handicapped public who would like to play bingo on a Monday night, have dinner, or attend a private function at the restaurant. If we work together, there must be a solution. Handicapped people can be both temporary or permanent and it can happen to any one of us at any time. No one volunteers or wants to be handicapped and a good example is poor Brenda who is on crutches, but luckily Brenda has an access card so she doesn't have to worry about walking in the bad weather and getting sopping wet. I do hope that you will consider what I'm saying and what our government is saying about the handicapped and about discrimination against those that have a problem. Thank you.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Consideration of Minutes from the June 23, 2025 Board of Supervisors Meeting

Mr. Costello presented the minutes from the June 23, 2025 Board of Supervisors meeting. Ms. Burns noted that she received one minor comment that will be incorporated into the minutes.

On MOTION by Ms. Landgrebe, seconded by Ms. VanSickle, with all in favor, the Minutes from the June 23, 2025 Board of Supervisors Meeting, were approved as amended 5-0.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Engineering Report

Mr. Rayl reviewed old business and ongoing projects that the engineering team continue to work on. He noted that the Pond 11 bank repair has been completed and they will continue to monitor that area to make sure it continues to remain stabilized through the rainy season. They completed the pond inspections for SWFWMD permit recertification and there were no issues.

Mr. Realmuto noted that on the Pond 11 repair, a mat was laid down that grass was supposed to grow through, but there is a large area of that mat that is exposed and the soil has washed away from it already. Mr. Rayl stated that he would take a look at that area before he leaves the community today.

Mr. Rayl noted that they heard back from the City of Lake Wales, they do have a vendor selected to do the repair work on Turnberry. Staff is coordinating with them to get that scheduled as quickly as possible. Mr. Rayl provided recommendations on the ability to create some ADA spaces for the Board to consider.

Mr. Rayl discussed the bridge feature that connects two stormwater ponds at the entrance off Thompson Nursery. There's erosion along the west side at the northern wing wall. The erosion has been there for a number of years. He noted it seems to be progressing a little bit more now than more so than it has in the past. It doesn't have a large area that drains to and through that area, so it's not something that is going to cause other downstream effects, but it is something that we would want to try to remedy and restore that area. We're looking at a couple of options on how best to do that where it's aesthetically pleasant to look at.

A. Presentation of Letter Regarding Blackmoor Lane Erosion

Mr. Rayl reviewed the summary of the findings of the erosion issue on the pond on 3620 Blackmoor Lane.

Ms. VanSickle stated she thinks the pop-up drain needs to be moved and they have encroachment into CDD property. Right now they're going putting up T stakes telling everybody else they can't encroach on the CDD property wetlands and they need to be very consistent across the board.

Mr. Realmuto agree that the pop-up drain needs to be moved. He stated that the issue with the encroachment, there are dozens or hundreds of similar encroachments throughout the District by homeowners. He stated that looking at the block in question where this encroachment occurred, almost every house in that line is likely encroaching into that and that block is not unique. He asked if they were to go to the extreme of asking them to remove that garden bed, don't they have to be consistent throughout the District? Then they're looking at an impact on potentially hundreds of houses.

Mr. Costello agreed that they have to be consistent, but the problem is every situation is different. He stated that each issue has to be looked at on an individual basis in order to make it right.

Ms. Landgrebe suggested tabling any action on this item to a future meeitng until they could get Ms. Carpenter's legal opinion.

Mr. Rayl suggested removing the pop-up drain because it was causing erosion on CDD property.

On MOTION by Mr. Costello, seconded by Ms. VanSickle, with all in favor, Removing the Pop-Up Drain at the Resident's Expense, was approved 5-0.

Mr. Rayl noted on Pond 18 there is a flume that has some erosion issues. There is a chunk of curb that has also eroded. He proposed that three feet of curb be replace and some flowable fill be pumped in under the void to fill that area in and restabilize it. He noted that they would be receiving quote for work to restore that are.

Mr. Lazarovich stated that staff has looked into the ADA issue that has come up a few times. He noted that this will be covered under Title II and Title III of the ADA and then Florida law actually supplants those, adopts those rules, and then goes further. There are some stricter regulations. He suggested starting with a self-evaluation. He noted that they've had similar issues in the past with the CDD website and also in the pool with a lift chair. He noted that it would ultimately be up to the Board if they want to proceed with rectifying that or opening the building up. They have discussed having the side doors open to the public. One other option would be to get a compliance expert to come out and so survey the property; that was a recommendation they saw online where they can actually go through the parking lots, accessibility to the building, and the different services within, and then provide an actual report on ADA compliance with all the requirements. He noted that they do think having an expert provide their report on the accessibility would be the best course of action at this time.

Mr. Realmuto stated that handicapped residents have the same access that nonhandicapped residents do. Handicapped public has the same access that the public does. The discrimination is resident versus nonresident.

Mr. Lazarovich stated within the ADA, they discussed a path of travel and having a continuous route from the parking facility to the primary function of the building. There are exceptions for other areas that wouldn't need a specialized entrance. However, if the primary function is the entrance to the clubhouse and then there is access to other areas, that would be a consideration. Mr. Realmuto noted that the that front entrance is the primary entrance to this building. Mr. Lazarovich agreed.

Mr. Ulrich suggested that they move forward with getting pricing for an expert on ADA compliance regarding the District's current parking lot and entrance points. The Board directed staff to research ADA compliance experts and bring back pricing at the next meeting.

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Old Business

There being no comments, the next item followed.

SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

New Business

A. Acceptance of the Rankings of the Audit Committee and Authorizing Staff to Send Notice of Intent to Award

Mr. Costello reviewed the ranking of the Audit Committee and noted that they ranked DiBartolomeo, McBee, Hartley & Barnes as the #1 ranked auditor.

On MOTION by Mr. Realmuto, seconded by Ms. Landgrebe, with all in favor, Accepting the Rankings of the Audit Committee Ranking DiBartolomeo, McBee, Hartley & Barnes #1 and Authorizing Staff to Send a Notice of Intent to Award, was approved.

B. Public Hearing

Public Hearing on the Adoption of the Fiscal Year 2025/2026 Budget

Ms. Burns noted for the record this public hearing has been advertised as required in Florida statutes. The Board previously set this public hearing at a prior meeting, and it was placed in the paper. She noted that they didn't send mailed notice to residents because there is no proposed increase. Ms. Burns asked for a motion to open the public hearing.

On MOTION by Ms. VanSickle, seconded by Ms. Landgrebe, with all in favor, Opening the Public Hearing, was approved.

Mariane Zak (4031 Birkdale Dr.) stated when they had this room redone, it was not put in the budget. It was put in the general fund, and it was never put in the budget for what they're doing now. I'm wondering if that is a good way of doing business to not have it set aside ahead of time.

a) Consideration of Resolution 2025-06 Adopting the District's Fiscal Year 2025/2026 Budget and Appropriating Funds

Ms. Burns noted that this budget has not changed since the Board last reviewed it, aside from updating the actuals and making changes that the Board requested.

Mr. Realmuto pointed out for everyone's information that under this budget, they are basically contributing from the general fund at the end of the year around \$462,000. Yet we propose to expend from the Capital Projects Fund, \$536,000. Point being is, we're not building up the Capital Projects Fund. We're actually spending more than we're contributing to it, to a deficit of almost \$75,000. When people are considering expenditures, particularly unplanned expenditures that aren't necessary to maintain and upkeep Lake Ashton, even the budgeted amount is \$75,000 more than what we have. We're spending down our reserves and that's not a good thing to do in light of the planned maintenance.

On MOTION by Ms. VanSickle, seconded by Ms. Landgrebe, with all in favor, Resolution 2025-06 Adopting the District's Fiscal Year 2025/2026 Budget and Appropriating Funds, was approved.

b) Consideration of Resolution 2025-07 Imposing Special Assessments and Certifying an Assessment Roll

Ms. Burns noted that this resolution certifies your assessments for collection based on the budget that the Board just adopted.

On MOTION by Ms. Landgrebe, seconded by Ms. VanSickle, with all in favor, Resolution 2025-07 Imposing Special Assessments and Certifying an Assessment Roll, was approved.

On MOTION by Ms. Landgrebe, seconded by Ms. VanSickle, with all in favor, Closing the Public Hearing, was approved.

C. Consideration of Resolution 2025-08 Designation of a Regular Monthly Meeting Date, Time, and Location for Fiscal Year 2025/2026

Ms. Burns noted the proposed schedule in here is the same as your current schedule; the third Monday at 9:00 a.m. at this location. The only one that has been moved from that date is the January meeting because it does fall on a holiday.

Mr. Costello stated I know we have to have the meeting now in order to approve the budget for next month, but could we eliminate the August meeting?

Ms. VanSickle asked if they could decide that in July. Could they list it and then if we don't need it, they could cancel. Ms. Burns stated that would be her recommendation. Ms. Burns stated in most Districts who don't meet every month, if they don't have any agenda items, they get with the Chair and say they don't have any agenda items listed, would you like to cancel. Ms. Burns noted the Board could collectively decide that at a meeting as well.

Ms. Burns asked if the Board would like to set a budget workshop date, her suggestion was to meet for budget discussions in March.

On MOTION by Mr. Realmuto, seconded by Mr. Ulrich, with all in favor, Resolution 2025-08 Designation of a Regular Monthly Meeting Date, Time, and Location for Fiscal Year 2025/2026 and Adding a Budget Workshop in March 2026, was approved.

D. Goals and Objectives

I. Presentation of Fiscal Year 2025 Goals & Objectives and Authorizing Chair to Execute

Ms. Burns noted that there was a change in Florida statutes that requires Districts to adopt goals and objectives annually. She noted that they are putting these goals on the budget adoption hearings for the Board to review. She noted they are on track to meet all of these goals and objectives. There's a form that needs to be signed and sent to the state before October 1st, so we'd be looking for a motion from the Board to approve that.

On MOTION by Mr. Ulrich, seconded by Ms. VanSickle, with all in favor, the Fiscal Year 2025 Goals & Objectives and Authorizing Chair to Execute, was approved.

II. Adoption of Fiscal Year 2026 Goals & Objectives

Ms. Burns reviewed the proposed Fiscal Year 2026 goals and objectives and noted these are the same as the current year. It meets the requirements, and she noted they would be in compliance with the statutes.

On MOTION by Ms. Landgrebe, seconded by Mr. Ulrich, with all in favor, Adoption of the Fiscal Year 2026 Goals & Objectives, was approved.

E. Discussion Regarding Joint Strategic Planning Goals (requested by Supervisor VanSickle)

Ms. VanSickle stated at the last meeting she gave the Board a list of joint goals. She noted she had safety and security. She believes the golf course needs to be one on its own. Facilities and infrastructure, funding sources and economic sustainability, and quality of life. She asked if any other Board members had goals to suggest. Ms. VanSickle stated they are an active adult community and technology is evolving, lifestyles are evolving, and there are things changes that we may want to incorporate and she thinks that will work best if they work jointly with both CDDs.

EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Monthly Reports

A. Attorney

I. Discussion Regarding ADA Compliance in Parking Lot

Mr. Lazarovich had no updates this month. They are looking into the irrigation issue.

B. Lake Ashton Community Director

Ms. Wells reviewed the Lake Ashton Community Director Report which was included in the agenda package for Board review.

Ms. Wells stated that they received the first bills from Florida Public Utilities and she is working with their account manager and the state of Florida contract liaison to get them set up.

Mr. Realmuto asked Ms. Wells to follow up on the building permit for the work on the boat ramps.

Mr. Realmuto stated they had a risk services visit from their insurer and asked the attorney what the CDD's obligations is to implement all of those recommendations. Mr. Lazarovich stated they would need to review what the insurance carrier is proposing before making a comment. Mr. Lazarovich asked Ms. Wells to send the legal team those recommendations.

C. Operations Manager

Mr. Fisher presented the Operations Manger's Report which was included in the agenda package for Board review.

I. Landscaping Update

a) Presentation of Monthly Landscaping Checklist and Report

Pete provided an update on landscaping including adding St. Augustine sod around the clubhouse area. They are still working on the bahia at Aberdeen, that remaining sod will be laid this week. He noted that they did a fert chem application on the turf and turf herbicide. They also did insect control and a triple twenty fertilizer to help green things up while there is so much rain and nutrients are washing through the soil quickly.

II. Aquatics Update

a) Presentation of Monthly Aquatic Maintenance Checklist and Report

Mr. Fisher reviewed the pond checklist which was included in the agenda package. Pete noted that they will be working with Mr. Fisher to review the irrigation system and see if there are any upgrades that they can do. He noted some of the controllers are pretty outdated and there is a lot of new technology and smart controllers and weather tracking that could be of benefit to Lake Ashton in water savings.

Mr. Realmuto stated that they received some correspondence from residents about the lack of maintenance around the entrance Thompson Nursery Road in that area. Pete noted that occasionally when they are doing extra work for the Board, they pick and

choose what is most important, and there had been some shifting around of tasks for employees. He recognized that the entrance should be prioritized.

D. District Manager's Report

Ms. Burns had nothing additional to report to the Board.

NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Financial Reports

- A. Combined Balance Sheets
- **B.** Capital Projects Reserve Fund
- C. Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance

Mr. Costello stated the financial reports are included in the agenda package and asked for any questions or comments.

Mr. Realmuto noted on the Capital Projects Reserve Fund, there are a lot of projects that have not hit that piece of accounting yet because they haven't been paid, but the money has been committed. He noted with anticipated and approved projects; they are set to exceed what was budgeted by \$3,000.

D. Approval of Check Run Summary

Ms. VanSickle asked for approval of the check run summary.

On MOTION by Mr. Realmuto, seconded by Ms. VanSickle, with all in favor, the Check Run Summary, was approved.

TENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Public Comments

Margaret Demler (Berwick) stated the sound system in the restaurant is currently not working well. I just want to put it on record that it is not working.

Sharon McLane (Aberdeen Lane) stated she sees posts on Facebook Lake Ashton groups about their beautiful views outside their back door and on their lanai. My view is not beautiful. I have been told that it's going to change, that there's going to be grass put as soon as rainy season came. I have been told that there was going to be an effort made and I've been told there's no sprinkler system going to be repaired. I deserve, and so do the other neighbors, I deserve for the ground to be kept up. Mr. Realmuto stated they just heard a few minutes ago from Yellowstone that they have two and a half pallets of sod

that has been delayed but they are planning on installing that grass there. You should be aware that most of the CDD property around Lake Ashton is not irrigated. Resident asked if the Board knew how far the road would be coming in. Ms. Landgrebe stated that Rick Wilson is coming to a Monday coffee and that would be a very good question to ask him. Mr. Realmuto stated the good news is since the previous meeting the county did approve the road pathway to the north of the road. The approved plan was essentially what we wanted. We've reached out to them and the caveat was the design has not yet been done or completed and so there can be other infringements, but at least they chose the more favorable design for the road that is intended to encroach.

Sharon Carl (3006 Ashton Palms Drive) stated she was extremely frustrated after the last Board meeting she observed. Number one, the purpose of this meeting is to conduct CDD related business and discuss community needs. That's the purpose. There are three stakeholders. Residents, Board members, and staff support. There are certain things that you need to be aware when you observe this meeting, one is Sunshine Laws, one is there are participants from GMS which is a management company, and then we also have the East and West boundaries and barriers. Then we also have Board of Supervisors, these are elected residents with various skills and various expertise who have fiduciary and legal responsibilities to support this community. It's a four-year commitment and there are going to be three openings soon and if we don't do something about this, this Board meeting is not going to be successful. For example, the issues that I saw, there's limited attendance. Look at this, this is our opportunity to provide input and nobody takes advantage of it. There's something wrong with this and I attributed it to credibility. The whole meeting is not necessarily set up for success. It needs to be more business oriented rather than ego oriented. Normally I do Zoom, when I walked into the meeting the environment here was very tense. I didn't get that when I was on Zoom. But the fact that there's limited participation here tells me that there's a problem. The audio last month was in and out. Especially on Zoom, you don't know what the heck is being talked about so you miss a lot of the important information. Public comments: I'm only going to relate back to the public comments last month that I saw. There was a resident issue for remote car agenda and public comments are allowed for three minutes. That's when the actual resident presented his idea. That remote car concept was delayed from

the previous meeting because there was not enough information. So now it goes under old business. When we started talking about the old business, the discussion was 45 minutes long. That's unacceptable. I don't know who's responsible, but I know I got thoroughly frustrated from hearing everybody beat a dead horse. If in fact something is now considered old business and it's tabled, it really is new business because we haven't given the person the opportunity. When there is a new business, usually these are resident requests. When the Board persons take this into account as their responsibility, they need to present that information with everything they can.

Ms. Burns noted that the resident's 3 minutes were up. The Board discussed allowing the resident to continue to make comments. Ms. Burns noted that if one resident was allowed extra time, then it would apply to all residents for this meeting.

On MOTION by Mr. Realmuto, seconded by Mr. Ulrich, with Mr. Realmuto, Mr. Ulrich, and Ms. Landgrebe in favor and Mr. Costello and Ms. VanSickle opposed, to Allow a Resident Additional Public Comment Time on This One Occasion After All Public Comments were made, was approved 3-2.

Joe Sawmiller (Dunmore Rd.) stated that there was an absence of a BINGO board in the room. I've been told because it ruins the aesthetics of the room. There's a lot of other things that ruin the aesthetics of the room, but I'm not going to go on. I'm simply saying that board up there with the curtain across it is no more invasive than that board with the curtain across it. I'm running BINGO right now, which is a position I didn't want to take. If that Board isn't put back up in some means, I'm out. Thank you for your time.

Mariane Zak (4031 Birkdale Dr.) for 21 years everyone had access with no harm done entering and exiting the doors. It's ironic because the same people you will not allow in are allowed out. It's not like you're afraid they're going to do harm. We work together. We hire our lawyers and our engineers to work for us. We, the people, you work for us. The people on this Board get paid on top of working for us. They're doing it not just as volunteers, but also, they are getting paid. They are also our neighbors and our friends. We need to support this community. Brenda VanSickle just walked out. You saw how hard it was for her to walk out. She mentioned that she came in the front door. Did she use a golf cart or did her husband drop her off? There's a big difference from whether she

had walked from the parking lot, if she couldn't park in the handicapped parking over here and then walk this way, and she had to park way over because there was no more room in those three or four parking spaces, it would be very hard on her to walk in here and have to go all the way around when they have a door that they've used and accessed for 21 years. We are a community and we should be working together instead of paying engineers to figure out how far it is or to have the ADA, which by the way, I'd love it if they come in there. I'm glad you brought it up. And remember Florida does not trump the government out of the ADA III, the government is in charge over the Florida laws, which apparently your lawyer thought was the trump card when she talked to you. We are a community, and all we need to do to address this Pandora's box that was brought in when the security system was installed is to open the doors on BINGO night because they're going to be open to leave. Those same handicapped people, they have to hobble around like we see now, are going to end up having to go out the back door anyway. Why are we wasting hours, days, and money bringing people in? I heard it today that you said you have to bring in people. How much is it going to cost to figure it out? Get a tape measure. fellas. I'll hold the end of it. You can just go from one of the farther parking spots and then drag it all the way to the front door, and I'll keep holding it for you. You're going to find out just how long it is for someone to walk. If they're walking like Brenda is today, add about five to ten extra minutes. Then when she comes in, you can give her a towel. I have seen that happen since they brought in this wonderful security system which isn't secure because anyone can drive in and say where they're from and they're allowed to come in. The only ones who are punished is the people. They have to spend \$10 every time they get a new car to put it on their car. This is a ridiculous thing. Shame on all of us, spending all this time and now you want to spend money to bring someone in to tell you if it's okay or not to actually open a damn door so that the handicapped people can come in. Brenda, did you come in the front door with a golf cart or did your husband drop you off?

Ms. VanSickle stated I came in the front door by golf cart. I walked all the way back here, I walked there.

Mariane Zak (4031 Birkdale Dr.) stated Brenda came in by a golf cart. Our public do not go in on golf carts because they can't drive a golf cart from the street all the way here. They have to come in by car and a lot of the people that come in by car can drive,

but they can't walk without a walker or a cane. I saw people come in last night when we had trivia and the poor man had two crutches that wrapped around his legs, and it made me so sad to see a man have to walk almost half an hour to get in here and he's a resident, so luckily, he had a pass to come in. How dare us, as citizens of this country and as family, we are family to not let people come in.

Sharon Carl (3006 Ashton Palms Drive) thanked the Board for allowing her to come back. The bottom line that I want to express to everybody is this is my personal opinion. Again, it is not meant to be accusatory of any person. My idea is that we need to set a new mindset for this meeting because the purpose of this meeting, in my impression, is not effective. We have to have a goal to work together, we have to be able to support it in a business process, body language, and I'll tell you, as Board members, there are often body languages that just really express frustration. You need to be aware of that. Everybody who presents anything needs to be aware of their body language. Everybody needs to be prepared. If they have a job, if they are reporting something, they need to be prepared. Oftentimes things are turned down or postponed because they weren't prepared. That should be something everybody strives for. We need better resident and Board of Supervisor interaction. The only interaction we really get is if we currently send you an email or we casually talk to you about something. That's not how a business should be run. You should have time that we can actually talk to you so that you can understand what our concerns are because this meeting is not a way to express them. We also need more accountability measures. Accountability, it's not there. You also need to use your resources. There are focus teams. Iris just told you at the beginning of the meeting that there is a focus team set up. You were just talking about joint meeting and wondering whether or not the Board had issues. There's your resource. Use it. That's it. I'm totally frustrated and I had to express it because I know when I talk to other people, this truth needs to come out. Thank you.

ELEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS Supervisor Requests/Supervisor Open Discussion

Mr. Realmuto stated our communication with residents and them feeling satisfied with each of us and the Board as a whole is very important. I think it needs to be addressed. If Sharon's view is shared by even a substantial percentage of the community,

I think it would behoove us to address what we can. Ms. VanSickle stated that's why she believes all ten Board Supervisors members need to work together.

Mr. Realmuto stated that four out of five of the Supervisors were in attendance at the CDD 2 meeting where they discussed their intent to send us a letter now. I don't know if it's something you want to talk about now or after we receive the letter. Mr. Costello stated they shouldn't comment on something they don't have yet. He requested that the CDD 2 Board get the Lake Ashton Board something prior to the next meeting but they haven't received anything yet.

Ms. Landgrebe stated that in the check run, you will see a check made out to me, that was for reimbursement of some shelving that I purchased for the clubhouse.

Mr. Costello stated there has been stuff appearing on the Internet. Somebody told me about a Supervisor who used to be here, moved to Arizona and was moving back. And when he came to move back, his real estate agent told him you don't want to move into Lake Ashton. From what I understand, that was one of the things that was brought up was things that are written on the Internet. There's a lot of problems in Lake Ashton. It's freedom of speech, we know that we can't curb it in any way, but all I'm saying is you're tearing down your property value. I think that they asked at the CDD2 meeting, maybe you want to think twice before you put something out there on the Internet. If you want to tear down your own property value, be my guest, but don't take mine along with it.

Mr. Realmuto stated that all residents have Board members available to you if you have a question. He acknowledged it's a lot easier to post a question on social media than it is to send a text or pick up the phone and call one of us, but that's really all you have to do to get the facts that we'll present to you to the best of our ability. Now, each of us has their own perspective, and hopefully we'll clearly identify our opinions from fact, but I can tell you, having read most of what's been posted on social media, that there are gross misstatements of fact in there and worse, it's being done with a confidence, as if it's authoritative when it's clearly false. Please reach out to us if there's something you see on social media that concerns you, and we'll do our best to set the facts straight.

TWELFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned.

On MOTION by Mr. Ulrich, seconded by Ms. Landgrebe, with all in favor, the meeting was adjourned.

-Signed by:

12F94F2404914EE.

Secretary / Assistant Secretary

Jill Burns

Chairman / Vice Chairman