

**MINUTES OF MEETING
LAKE ASHTON
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT
AND
LAKE ASHTON II
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT**

The joint meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Lake Ashton Community Development District and Lake Ashton II Community Development District was held on March 13, 2020 at 11:00 a.m. at the Lake Ashton II Health & Fitness Center, 6052 Pebble Beach Boulevard, Winter Haven, Florida 33884.

Present and constituting a quorum:

Mike Costello
Borden Deane
Bob Ference
Bob Plummer
Harry Krumrie

Lake Ashton CDD Chairman
Lake Ashton CDD Vice Chairman
Lake Ashton CDD Assistant Secretary
Lake Ashton CDD Assistant Secretary
Lake Ashton CDD Assistant Secretary

Doug Robertson
James Mecsecs
Stanley Williams
Carla Wright
Bob Zelazny

Lake Ashton II CDD Chairman
Lake Ashton II CDD Vice Chairman
Lake Ashton II CDD Assistant Secretary
Lake Ashton II CDD Assistant Secretary
Lake Ashton II CDD Assistant Secretary

Also present:

Jillian Burns
Andrew d'Adesky
Sarah Sandy
Alan Rayl
Christine Wells
Mary Bosman
Numerous residents

District Manager
Lake Ashton CDD District Counsel
Lake Ashton II CDD District Counsel
District Engineer
Lake Ashton CDD Community Director
Lake Ashton II CDD Community Director

Please note that due to a lot of background noise and conversations portions of the meeting cannot be transcribed verbatim where the recording is inaudible.

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS

Roll Call and Pledge of Allegiance

Ms. Burns called the roll and the pledge of allegiance was recited.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS Approval of Meeting Agenda

Ms. Burns: The first thing we have is Approval of Meeting Agenda. Anybody have any additions? It is fairly short for us today. If not, do we have a motion from Lake Ashton?

On MOTION by Mr. Krumrie seconded by Mr. Plummer with all in favor the meeting agenda was approved.

Ms. Burns: And Lake Ashton II CDD?

On MOTION by Mr. Robertson seconded by Ms. Wright with all in favor the meeting agenda was approved.

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS Public Comments on Specific Items on the Agenda *(speakers will fill out a card and submit it to the District Manager prior to beginning of the meeting. Individuals providing speaker cards will also have an opportunity to speak prior to Board action)*

Ms. Burns: Up next is public comments. I do not have any speaker cards. Do either of you? Alright, looks like we have no public comments.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS Approval of the Minutes from the November 8 and November 15, 2019 Meetings

Ms. Burns: Next is the Approval of the Minutes from the November 8, 2019 and November 15, 2019 Meetings. Unless anybody has any questions, comments or corrections? Do we have a motion to approve from Lake Ashton?

On MOTION by Mr. Costello seconded by Mr. Deane with all in favor the Minutes from the November 8, 2019 and November 15, 2019 Meetings were approved by the Lake Ashton CDD Board.

Ms. Burns: And for Lake Ashton II CDD?

On MOTION by Mr. Mecsics seconded by Mr. Williams with all in favor the Minutes from the November 8, 2019 and November 15, 2019 Meetings were approved by the Lake Ashton II CDD Board.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS Discussion Regarding Resident Requests to Convey Portions of

Newly Acquired Golf Course Property

Ms. Burns: The next item was a discussion item that came up from a previous Lake Ashton Board meeting that there was direction from staff to put this on a joint meeting. It is discussion regarding resident request to convey portions of the newly acquired golf course property and I think counsel has some comments

Ms. Sandy: Obviously it is an unusual request to get. Generally, this is property that we just acquired and spent government funds on. When considering conveying real property to a private party there would have to be really special circumstances for that. We would have to make a determination that it wasn't needed, a determination of value, as well as considerations on the fact that we are still under payments right now, paying it off. I don't think that this is something that the Board should take up lightly, if it is does want to consider this. I think there is a lot of additional costs that could go into this, and not necessary, unless there is a really special circumstance.

Ms. Burns: Unless there are any Board members who want to consider this request I think we could likely move on unless there is additional discussion from the Board.

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Approval of Golf Course Policies
(requested by Supervisor Zelazny)

Ms. Burns: Up next is Approval of Golf Course Policies, which was requested by Supervisor Zelazny.

Mr. Zelazny: As you know with the purchase of the golf course, we put in a number of policies. We brought them up at the last meeting for you to have an opportunity to review them. Jan and Andrew said that you guys weren't involved. There are still discussions on that, but what I do want to do because there have been some changes based on resident requests, we were hoping we were going to meet with them and get it done before this meeting. We would like to have Sarah cover the new policies and the changes that we are going to vote on when we get back in session. Then, if you have any questions or concerns as members of the Board, even though you think it falls in our bailiwick, please provide input so that we can finalize them at this time. So, I will turn it over to Sarah.

Ms. Sandy: The updated policies are in the agenda package, as well. They are largely consistent with what was presented to the Boards previously. There are some

changes, especially in regards to, I think there is a little more language in the cart paths and bridges regarding closures, as well as that pets are permitted to be walked on the golf course, the cart paths, and bridges. Pet owners are responsible for picking up after them, as well as must be on a leash. We added in some waiver language and made that a little more detailed in terms of you using the golf course at your own risk if you are using as a non-golfer, on the pathways and bridges you are entering the golf course at your own risk knowing that there could be golfers on the golf course, as well. One item for discussion for the Lake Ashton II Board that we didn't get to our prior meeting is on the ponds. Currently, our joint amenity policy does address the ponds. It does allow for fishing within the ponds. What we need to discuss is specifically the concerns if somebody were fishing or using the ponds that would be within the line of a golf ball of some sort and so what kind of parameters the Board would like to put on that in terms of use if at all for the ponds that are abutting the golf course.

Mr. Robertson: We said we have 60 ponds. That is a lot of places to fish. We should say that people should not be fishing in golf ball areas. You have 60 ponds, we have ample places to do it. We shouldn't be doing it where if someone is out fishing they don't see somebody coming behind them on the golf course and then all sudden a golf ball is launched in their direction. Don't fish where golf balls are landing.

Mr. Zelazny: I believe the language states that you should position yourself away from the path of the golf ball as opposed to trying to restrict which ponds they can go to. The draft policy asks for the fisherman to locate themselves away from the flight of the golf balls and move their golf carts away from the cart paths and to the side. It also addresses alligators and not leaving children around the edges unattended and things like that. It talks about the restriction of swimming and wading and things like that, which were not addressed in the original joint amenities policy. I think it's mostly basically common sense stuff but because the people are going to use them more, we wanted to make sure we addressed all of those things.

Ms. Sandy: So, primarily right now in keeping with the joint amenity policy the only things that you can do in the ponds are fishing and remote control boats, excluding the kayaking that can be done on the larger lakes. The item that we are discussing is what parameters, is the Board comfortable with just the language, again this is something that

we can take up in the Lake Ashton II continued meeting after this, but just specifically what kind of parameters the Board wanted to put on that. The last piece that is really applicable I think is the golf carts. We expanded on the golf cart policy somewhat from the last time. One item was a discussion of whether the golf cart registration should be limited to those golf carts using the golf course or golf carts using any of the District's amenities. I think previously we had it as any of the District's amenities. My point of view was that these policies were specially dealing with the golf course, but again that is again a discussion item that this Board can have at its continued meeting. Bob had some additional comments to the version that is in your agenda, specifically under safety recommendations, he wanted to add the line when passing or approaching another cart in a path, one cart should move to the side towards the golf course allowing the other cart to pass. Under no circumstances should the passing golf cart drive onto a private resident's property. That was a specific item that he wanted to make sure was added in.

Mr. Zelazny: The other major change dealt with the pets on the golf course. Residents wanted to be able to walk their dogs on the sidewalk. We put it back in that pets could be walked on the sidewalk and in the immediate vicinity of the sidewalk as long as the pet owner picked up after them. They had to stay within 30 feet of the greens, etc. There is another caveat on pets that says pets cannot run off leash on the golf course. Pretty much that is just standard. Those were added based on the original policy that said no pets allowed on the golf course.

Mr. d'Adesky: Just quickly, as Supervisor Zelazny correctly stated at the last meeting I advised that this Board is not required because these are policies pertaining to usage, don't alter the maintenance or ownership obligations or rights of our District but certainly appreciate the opportunity to comment on them because it is going to give us as much feedback as possible when you are changing policies like that. I have nothing objectionable, these looks like fairly common policies and I think all the changes are reasonable from that standpoint.

Mr. Williams: Just a question on liability. If somebody does not sign this waiver, we are saying that they cannot use their golf cart going to any of the amenities. They cannot use their golf cart coming to the HFC and pickleball court and the tennis court? I don't know who is going to monitor those things, but if for some reason they get hurt and

they didn't sign this, since we really don't have a way of monitoring it, what is our liability at that point? They haven't signed the waiver and we don't monitor it because I don't know how we are going to monitor it.

Ms. Sandy: The District's liability in any given situation is always going to vary based on the facts and what exactly happened in that situation. The waiver is an additional protection for the District. It is not going to completely eliminate any kind of liability but it is an additional protection and also acknowledgment by the person that is using the facilities. They are using it at their own risk, especially in regard to using the golf course during times that golfers are on the course.

Mr. Williams: It is not just that it is you are parking out here in front, or you are parking by the pickleball court on this side.

Ms. Sandy: That is an item for discussion.

Mr. Zelazny: Let me just address for you, Stan. If you remember previous conversations, and Doug when we talked about how we enforce membership on the golf course, one of the discussions was everybody in the community should register their golf cart. That way, if you are on the golf course illegally and not paying, then a person can just take a picture of your tag and turn your name into the Pro Shop. No confrontation. The same applies now with people that are walking their dogs on the golf cart paths with not cleaning up after the dogs, golf carts that are riding over people's yards. The only way you can confront them is to take a picture of their registration tag. That is what the purpose is. It is so that you as residents don't have to confront each other. Just take a picture of the tag, turn it into one of the amenity managers and we can address it. That is what it is. Mike had a good point at the last meeting. A number of vehicles that are broken down, abandoned, people out fishing, there is no way you know who they are or who they belong to unless there is a tag. In our previous discussions, we view registering your golf cart as no different as a Lake Ashton ID card where the guard will stop you at the pool and say where is your ID card? It doesn't matter if you say I live at 5192 Pebble Beach. The requirement is you have your ID card with you. The thought here is register your golf cart just like an ID card. If you don't, if you are using any amenity if you do not have a tag, then we will call the amenity manager and ask you to leave. That is the only way you are going to do it through self-enforcement. We are not going to put a police force out there to do it.

Ms. Sandy: I do want to note that here we don't have specific enforcement. We would go through a rule-making process in order to adopt some enforcement policies or to implement the ones that are under the joint amenity policy applicable here. With the recent acquisition of the golf course we are trying to get policies in place. It is going to be a bit of process to get those things up and running, but we wanted to move forward with this so we have some guidelines on usage and how we are going to handle these specific items moving forward.

Mr. Robertson: The priority would be to deal with the traffic that is on the golf course first. Let's start with the golf course and make sure the people are aware of the risks walking along the golf course. The bigger process is education. The idea that anybody can sue anybody no matter what you sign, but if you sign a waiver you acknowledge the risk of being on the golf course. Someone was killed on a golf course from a stray golf ball which is not a pleasant experience to go through so it is part of our education process.

Mr. Mecsics: I am not a golfer, but it is a scary and a dangerous place, so we need to make sure we cover ourselves and not have people out there and do silly things.

Mr. Williams: The only other comment that people have said to me was if you have been here for any length of time you already have a sticker with a number on it that identifies you even if you weren't a member of the golf course. They put a sticker on. I did. I was not a member of the golf course and I got a sticker on my windshield.

Mr. Zelazny: There will be only one sticker. If you are member of the golf club and you registered your golf cart with the golf club you have a sticker and a number. If you do not, or you are not a member and you need a number, then you would go to one of the amenity managers to register.

Mr. Williams: Ok but I am just saying the sticker might be the same. I don't want another color.

Mr. Zelazny: No. Seasonal tags from the Pro Shop are different from registration which is the oval sticker.

Mr. Williams: That is correct.

Mr. Zelazny: That is what we want everyone to have.

Mr. Robertson: Ok, anything else for the two Boards? If you all are happy with what we are talking about, we will pass our motion later.

**SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS Discussion Regarding Joint
Resident Feedback Survey**

Ms. Burns: Next is Discussion Regarding Joint Resident Feedback Survey. There is a request by a Supervisor on the Lake Ashton Board to add some additional questions regarding staff and their Board wanted since it is a joint resident survey and discuss those with both Boards.

Mr. Krumrie: I was interested in getting some feedback regarding the staff. Christine has added some other specific questions that residents can provide feedback on the survey regarding specific staff. Last year the questions were general. It did not identify the staff. We are using staff names, just positions. Christine is passing that around now.

Ms. Burns: Christine, it was just the last page there were changes you said?

Ms. Wells: We did take some of the questions that were specific to the restaurant and made them more generalized.

Ms. Burns: If there is no objection, it will just be staff direction to add the additional questions to the joint resident survey. Christine and Mary, just one thing Bob had under the LA II CDD staff, we just need a new header, just a formatting thing. There is a header that says Clubhouse staff, we need a header that says Lake Ashton II.

Ms. Bosman: I didn't change any of their questions because they didn't request any changes.

Ms. Burns: I think it may have just dropped off on the formatting. It is on the bottom of this page.

Mr. Williams: Quickly reading through this, under the security services having an officer patrol in the common areas amenities helps safeguard the community from unauthorized use, well that question, I think we would all agree it safeguards everybody from unauthorized use. I would think the question should be more detailed as a common patrol. Should the common patrol be 24 hours versus 16 or 18 or something like that? I think that has been a question that has been brought up to me a number times. I think we all strongly agree that we need some amount of patrol. I think the question from the community that I have heard more is what hours. So, I would see changing that somehow or I would recommend changing that somehow to answer that question.

Mr. Mecsics: Do we put 12, 16, 18 or 24?

Mr. Williams: You know it is going to 8, 12, 16 or 24?

Mr. Mecsecs: You are going to get a survey back with a whole conglomerate of different hours that people look for.

Mr. Williams: I disagree. I think you will get a consensus of if a majority is under 16 or 12 or 8. I would think you would get a consensus of what people want. All I am saying is this one doesn't do much for me. I think we all agree that patrolling is needed. What I hear from the community is for the cost that we are paying for the 24 hour patrol, is that worth it or is there a consensus that we need it more in the evening hours and things like that. I think that somehow we should make that and I think you could probably word that properly. I think that would be a better question to ask then do you think we need a roving patrol.

Mr. Zelazny: Jim, just as a suggestion, maybe after you have identified if do we need a roving patrol, the question is do you need a 24 hour roving patrol because you and Bob know that there is high crime hours and stuff like that. We need to address it that way and from a budget perspective. As long it is not either 24 hours or less than 24 hours.

Mr. Williams: We already know that there is a difference between the East and the West side as far as the roving patrol hours are concerned. If nothing else maybe we can come to a consensus of what it should be and help you guys put that policy together.

Mr. Mecsecs: That is exactly what we have been working on.

Ms. Burns: They can come up with questions and get them to Mary and Christine to define that a little better. Any other questions or changes to the survey? I think that is just staff direction to update those couple of items, so I don't think we need a motion on that unless anybody has any other issues to discuss.

EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS **Supervisor Requests and General Public Comments**

Ms. Burns: That brings us to Supervisors Requests. Anybody have anything else before we turn it over to audience comments?

Mr. Zelazny: Can I just ask representative of the Board to bring us up to speed on what the situation in the restaurant is because as Board members we are getting a lot of questions and if we have the facts, I think that it would do a lot for the members of the community.

Mr. d'Adesky: I would be happy to give you an update on that. Of course I am not going to go into details that could be the potential litigation, obviously we have had threats of litigation in the past.

Mr. Zelazny: My concern is if you go on talk of Lake Ashton, it goes from here to here so it all comes back to a Board member, so if we know what it is, we can answer the questions and the rumors will stop.

Mr. d'Adesky: Absolutely. This status is that we have a 90 day out that we delivered to them in February. Following that, we got into a back and forth about insurance. It seemed like they were not compliant with their insurance requirements. Indeed, it proved inconclusively that they were not in compliance with their insurance requirements, both for workers compensation, which is required by the State of Florida, and for liquor liability insurance. The District has taken steps to protect itself from liability but they are still not compliant. On March 2nd, we delivered a non-monetary default letter. Under a non-monetary default for a lease they have 15 days to remedy the default. The default is the lack of insurance. At the end of that period, the last day is the 17th so on the 18th we are allowed to exercise any of our remedies available under the law including evicting them from the premises. So, we delivered the non-monetary default letter and if they do not cure the insurance requirements by the 17th we will be able to remove them on the 18th. Otherwise, the 90 days is still running from our earlier termination. That will terminate in May. Even if they were to cure that, the termination will still run out in May. That is what the status is right now. I can't predict whether or not they will deliver it, but up to this point I can confirm that they have not delivered satisfactory insurance to the District.

Mr. Zelazny: Thank you very much.

Mr. d'Adesky: My pleasure.

Ms. Burns: Any other Supervisor requests or comments?

Mr. Costello: The only other thing I would like to go through I know Mr. Mecsics when through it at their meeting, is the situation that we have with the coronavirus. Yesterday I was talking to Christine, and we made a phone call to Mary. Myself, Christine, Mary and Jim sat down and went through it all. As far as Governor DeSantis, he had a press conference where he had requested that any gathering of over 150 people

would be curtailed. We sat down, and quite honestly, I don't want to scare anybody, we want to try and inform people that we are limited to things to a point where hopefully we are not going to have any kind of a problem within the community. Christine has made up a list of some of the events that we are looking at. Do you have copies of that? There is a list of events and we do have the power I would think to tell people that you can't bring your event due to the fact that there is risk. A lot of the things that we do have scheduled we feel that we are more or less going to shut them down. One of things we will have to address is from our side, I guess from both sides is Monday Morning Coffee. The only thing being is we probably draw crowds in excess of 150 people easily. We probably go to 170 people. At this time, I personally feel that we are better off just suspending it for the time being. Another thing is the movie theater. The movie theater is more or less a confined space with 55 seats and quite honestly the last movie I went to a week ago or so every seat in the place was filled. I don't think that you have the proper amount of spacing where we can control it. I think one of the things that we are going to have to do at this time is ask for a vote I guess from both sides as far as shutting down Monday Morning Coffee and the theater.

Mr. Robertson: Our Board has already suspended all classes until the end of April.

Mr. d'Adesky: I want to say one thing, I think that CDD run events being limited, I think there are different legal obligations to private events that are booked, like for example weddings that are booked contractually with the District. Unless the ban is something that is required. If it is a requirement versus a recommendation there is a big difference. If it is mandated that all events over let's say 100 are to be stopped, then we have a contractual out of those contracts that we entered into with private individuals versus recommendations. I know that some states it is a mandated over 100. I may be behind that news right now, but I don't think it is a mandate, I think it is a recommendation in Florida at this point. Anytime at which a mandate comes by, obviously we are going to comply with the law. If we have a contractual agreement to hold, let's say a wedding, we would be in breach if we were to cancel that.

Mr. Robertson: We are letting people do their individual activities that is their choice. We are not sponsoring events with large people at this point, and if we get any

further direction from the CDD or the state and local government we will implement a more stringent policy as necessary.

Ms. Sandy: We authorized staff to work with the Chair for any further updates or closures that would have to happen.

Ms. Burns: If we could get the same authorization for the Lake Ashton Board to designate the Chair to work with staff to make any determinations should we need to close facilities or make the decision to cancel events, if you want to designate somebody to do that I think that would be helpful in case things change on the weekend before we meet on Monday and things like that.

Mr. d'Adesky: I would recommend the Chair or Vice Chair just in case there is an emergency situation.

Mr. Costello: I think we need to vote on that.

Mr. d'Adesky: To take any emergency measures necessary.

Ms. Burns: Do we have a motion to that effect for the Lake Ashton Board?

On MOTION by Mr. Plummer seconded by Mr. Deane with all in favor the Lake Ashton CDD Board designated the Chair to make the decision to cancel any events or make closures due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Ms. Wells: What about the Entertainment Series events?

Mr. Costello: That is going to be something we can discuss that as far as that goes. Things can change. I don't think the Governor really was clear. I don't want to put anybody at risk. I want everybody to live their lives according to the way they feel comfortable. We will see what happens on Monday.

Ms. Burns: Bob, you had something else?

Mr. Plummer: I have a comment that hopefully staff will be emailing what has specifically been cancelled and which has not been cancelled so that there is no confusion for the residents. I think that's maybe something that has to be updated almost daily.

Mr. Williams: Is there anything right now that you are cancelling on Lake Ashton? You mentioned cinema.

Mr. Costello: Personally, I think that we could suspend Monday Morning Coffee.

Mr. Williams: Have you made any decisions on what is going to be suspended?

Mr. Costello: This is the first opportunity that we have had where we are all together. Yesterday fortunately being two different CDDs, I was able to sit down with Mr. Mecsics and Mary and Christine. We went through everything as far as that goes. A lot of it I think is going to be up to the individual, but by the same token where we feel that any event that is over a certain amount of people it could be somewhat risky.

Mr. Williams: I just wanted to make sure.

Mr. Costello: Monday Morning Coffee I think should be suspended.

Mr. Williams: Nothing has been done yet.

Mr. Costello: No, nothing has been done yet. I think that we should suspend Monday Morning Coffee and movies until we feel comfortable with reopening them.

Ms. Burns: Is there a discussion or second?

Mr. Deane: There is no second.

Ms. Burns: If there is no second then the motion fails.

At this point there were a lot of people talking at the same time and Board members were hard to hear.

Ms. Burns: Is there anything else from the Board? If there is nothing else from anybody we can turn it over to general public comments.

A resident: I don't recall the resolution for golf carts for non-playing golf carts on the course. Is there a preferred direction or is it discretion of the golf cart holder?

Mr. Zelazny: The policy asks non golfers to go in the direction of the golfers that way they can stop, see the balls coming and then proceed. Golfers on the other hand, if they see fast moving people on bikes or joggers should stop, wait for the joggers and bicycles to go by before they hit. That is the recommended direction. There is also a section in this that says if you go before 7:00 in the morning or after 4:30 at night you can walk in either direction because there is no golfers out there. It tells you to please monitor the blast because if one course is closed on Monday you can walk anywhere you want, but on those days we have league play, league play doesn't start till 9:00 so from 7:00 to 9:00 you can really walk in either direction. That is spelled out in the policy.

A resident: Second is a request for the East or CDD I people who don't normally come to the CDD II meetings, I would request that at any joint meeting we have some

type of presentation on the finances of the golf course and where they think that it is going to be going.

Mr. Zelazny: The golf course financing is on the agenda today but we are not prepared to talk about it. We talk about financing at every Board meeting.

A resident: I am requesting that a summary of whatever you come with be presented at a joint meeting so that the entire community knows where we are.

Mr. Zelazny: I have noted it.

A resident: Montana Lane. In the last vote that was done on this CDD I, it was suggested that you have a backup person to make decisions in case of emergency and it was suggested the Chair and the Vice Chair, but then Mike said oh, I will do it. I will be the person. It was never clear on your vote if you were voting for the Chair to do it and the Vice Chair or just the Chair.

Mr. d'Adesky: Mike is the Chairman, so I think the intent when he said that was that he was indicating he is Chairman so he would be the primary contact. Borden would be the backup. That was included with the intent, should Mike be unavailable you don't anticipate being unavailable do you?

Mr. Costello: No.

Mr. d'Adesky: So unless he comes down with something, then Borden.

Mr. Robertson: On the West side as the Chairman it would come to me.

A resident: This was only on their vote. I was concerned you know you may need an emergency type thing and if somebody can't be reached or they are sick. Thank you.

Mr. Robertson: The same with us. If they try and get a hold of me and I am not available by phone for whatever reason they are directed to call the Vice Chairman. We don't leave any gaps.

Mr. Alan Goldstein: City Commissioner of Lake Wales. I am a little confused. I see CDD II took the initiative and cancelled many events. I would like to see CDD I, I know you are concerned, but I don't understand not getting a second to take a vote on the presentation by Mr. Costello. This is an emergency. Today the Governor's office is meeting, right now, considering 55 and over older communities, rest homes, retirement homes, etc. etc. they might be by 5:00 p.m. this afternoon you have to close. Everything in this county from the county government to city government have done what CDD II has

done. Cancel. It is a safety measure. We have people that come into our coffees, to the theater, to the entertainment series every week that come off of boats. I think we have to think of them as well as us. It is us that I am thinking of. They may have enjoyed themselves on the trip, but yet they are coming home possibility bringing a disease in here. We have to be prepared for it. Today is Friday. If we wait to Monday we might be late. I know four people coming off the boat tomorrow into here. I don't know what they faced on the ship with 3,100 other people from around the country. I think you need to take a step in the right direction and look at the proposal again to start closing things on the other side. Thank you.

Mr. Steve Realmuto: Blackmoor Lane. My comment is more about the failed attempt to take action on something that not only wasn't on the agenda, but wasn't even hinted at on the agenda. Normally, when that type of thing has been done the Boards have been very careful to invite public comments, specifically because you had no way of knowing it was going to be discussed, and it specifically wasn't on the agenda. You should have invited public comment before making that motion and attempting to take action. It was only the lack of the second that prevented you. I am not saying that it is not appropriate to take action, I am just saying that you should take public comment on significant issues like that.

Ms. Burns: Just to clarify, had there been a second, that motion before they took action, public comment would have been offered. It was the lack of second.

A resident: At the last joint meeting Chairman Robertson suggested we are one community. We are one community be we are house divided. You would not run your household the way this community is run with lawyers writing letters back and forth to each other agreeing on interlocal agreements and joint meetings and so forth. I have a petition here to present to you today that requests we go to one CDD for our entire community. This is an election year. I think it can be done this year. I implore you to look over this consideration and implement a one CDD community for the betterment of the community and for harmony within the community that we don't have any discord between the East and the West. Thank you very much.

Ms. Iris Realmuto: Steve mentioned that it was about LA I CDD not taking public comment. It was actually LA II at the previous meeting that didn't take any comments before they voted on shutting all the meetings and everything else.

Ms. Debbe Landgrebe: I don't know if this is for LA II, or LA I, or joint, but just as a point of clarification, regarding the ponds and fishing, I am under the impression that we are a catch and release community for fishing, and yet I am hearing that is not what is happening. So, with the fishing, are we doing catch and release, or are people allowed to keep fish?

Mr. Zelazny: The current policy in the joint amenities policy says that it is catch and release. The policy associated with the purchase of the golf course says that due to the fertilization herbicides on the golf course and the proximity of the ponds to the golf course we recommend catch and release, but you can fish and keep in the lakes.

Ms. Landgrebe: So, why wouldn't we be consistent and just keep everything as catch and release?

Mr. Zelazny: I am sorry this isn't a time for conversation.

Ms. Landgrebe: Ok, something to maybe consider and send a blast email about. Thank you.

Mr. Tom McCartney: Riviera Court. I am sitting in the back here and I'm confused what is closed and what isn't.

Ms. Burns: We are going to send a blast to everybody.

Mr. McCartney: Please send a blast and keep us updated on that. One other thing, about these golf courses, when you talk about golf balls landing and don't be in an area where the golf ball lands. There is a lot of non-golfers out here. They don't know where a golf ball is going to land. You are creating rules that don't need to be created if you would educate everybody in the community what is going on here. You are starting to act like the federal government. I am done.

Ms. Burns: Anybody else?

Mr. Costello: One of the things I would like to do since I have been given the authority, we are going to cancel Monday Morning Coffee.

Ms. Burns: Anybody from the Board have anything else? No?

NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Adjournment

Ms. Burns: Do we have a motion to adjourn from Lake Ashton CDD?

On MOTION by Mr. Costello seconded by Mr. Ference with all in favor the meeting was adjourned.

Ms. Burns: Do we have a motion to adjourn from Lake Ashton II CDD?

On MOTION by Mr. Robertson seconded by Mr. Zelazny with all in favor was adjourned.

Lake Ashton CDD


Assistant Secretary/Secretary


Chairman/Vice Chairman

Lake Ashton II CDD


Assistant Secretary/Secretary


Chairman/Vice Chairman